

SHORT GUIDE

Love Bombing: When Intense Affection Becomes Pressure

Recognise the difference between a fast-moving connection, inconsistent attention and repeated boundary pressure.

ABOUT THIS GUIDE

Love Bombing: When Intense Affection Becomes Pressure

Recognise the difference between a fast-moving connection, inconsistent attention and repeated boundary pressure. This is the Next Move Educational Edition of a guide that began life as a one-page opinion piece; it keeps the original's directness and useful advice and adds what the original left out.

Who this is for: Anyone, of any gender, in the first weeks or months of a new connection — or making sense of one that ended.

CONTENTS

1. The short version
2. The performance — or genuine excitement?
3. Why it works on anyone
4. The switch: when warmth becomes conditional
5. 'Trauma bond' and 'addiction': use these words carefully
6. How you protect yourself
7. When to seek help
8. Final word
9. Research and further reading

1. The short version

Someone new is overwhelming you with attention. It might be the start of something good. It might be the opening move of a pattern that ends with you working for warmth that used to be free.

You cannot tell which from the intensity. You *can* tell from what happens next — and this guide shows you what to watch.

KEY POINT

Love bombing is not "too much affection". It is affection that later becomes **conditional, inconsistent** or **used as leverage**. The pattern is visible only over time.

2. The performance — or genuine excitement?

The early phase looks the same from the inside whether or not anything is wrong: constant messages, declarations that outrun the relationship's history, gifts, plans, the uncanny sense that this person shares everything you value.

Here is the distinction that matters:

What you observe	Could be genuine excitement if...	Worth watching if...
Constant contact	It eases when you ask, without penalty	Your unavailability is met with hurt, sulking or pressure
Big declarations	They are offered, not demanded back	You are made to feel cold for not matching them
Gifts and gestures	They are never mentioned again	They resurface as debts during disagreements
Mirroring your tastes	Differences emerge and are tolerated	Differences are minimised or treated as disloyalty
Wanting all your time	Your friendships are welcomed	Your friendships are quietly criticised

Experimental research shows that reciprocal self-disclosure genuinely creates closeness fast. A fast start with someone **consistent**, who respects your "no", is simply a fast start.

3. Why it works on anyone

This pattern does not work because you are naive. It works because of two ordinary features of human psychology.

Being chosen feels like safety. Total attention from an attractive person answers a need most of us carry quietly — to be seen, preferred, certain. That need is not a flaw. It is also exactly what makes

the later withdrawal so destabilising.

Unpredictable reward is the strongest reward. Behaviour rewarded intermittently persists far longer than behaviour rewarded every time. When warmth comes and goes without a clear rule, you work harder for it and find it harder to walk away.

WHAT NOT TO ASSUME

None of this requires the other person to be plotting. Attachment anxiety, new-relationship energy and learned scripts about "proving" love can produce the same early intensity. The question is never *what are they planning?* It is *what does their behaviour do when I stop rewarding it?*

4. The switch: when warmth becomes conditional

If there is a pattern, this is where it shows. After a disagreement, a boundary, or simply time, the temperature drops. Warmth becomes selective — generous when you comply, cool when you do not. Earlier generosity may be referenced. Then, when you move back toward them, the intensity returns.

Signs people describe:

- Going quiet after you hold a plan with friends, then a dramatic gesture.
- "After everything I've done for you."
- Affection that arrives most reliably right after you have given in.
- A growing sense that you are managing your behaviour to keep the good version of them.

EXAMPLE

"I said I couldn't make Tuesday. No reply for a day. Then flowers at my door and a speech about how much he'd missed me." — Nothing here is proof. But it is exactly the shape to watch for a second and third time.

5. 'Trauma bond' and 'addiction': use these words carefully

You will see both words everywhere in writing about love bombing. Here is what they do and do not mean.

Traumatic bonding is a research concept from the early 1990s describing the strong attachment that can form in **abusive** relationships marked by a power imbalance and alternating kindness and mistreatment. The finding that attachment was *stronger* where mistreatment was more intermittent is real and important.

But the term is not a diagnosis, it was developed in the context of abuse, and it does not mean a person is "addicted" in any clinical sense. Applying it to every painful relationship overstates what happened and can make a bad month feel like a life sentence.

ALTERNATIVE EXPLANATION

The modest, robust version of the idea is enough: *unpredictable warmth makes attachment harder to let go of*. You do not need a dramatic label to take that seriously.

6. How you protect yourself

These steps work whatever the other person's motives — so you do not have to settle the question of motives first.

- 1 **Set your pace and hold it.** Decide how often you want contact and how long before big steps. A sincere person can tolerate this.
- 2 **Run the consistency test.** Over several weeks, does behaviour match words when it is inconvenient for them?
- 3 **Hold one small limit and watch.** Acceptance — even disappointed acceptance — is reassuring. Guilt, persuasion campaigns or sudden coldness are the thing to track, especially if they repeat.
- 4 **Keep your world intact.** Friends, routines, money. Isolation is the condition under which pressure works.
- 5 **Write down what happened, not what it meant.** Dated, factual, brief.
- 6 **Get an outside view early.** Facts first, conclusions later.

TRY THIS

For three weeks, note every limit you set and what the other person did in the next 24 hours. Then read your notes as if a friend had written them.

7. When to seek help

Intensity combined with **control** — monitoring, isolation, financial pressure, threats, humiliation, or fear of their reaction — is a safety issue, not a dating dilemma. In England and Wales, repeated controlling or coercive behaviour in an intimate relationship is a criminal offence.

WHEN FURTHER HELP MAY BE USEFUL

UK: National Domestic Abuse Helpline **0808 2000 247** (24 h); Men's Advice Line **0808 8010 327**. Spain: **016**. Emergency: **999** (UK) / **112** (EU).

8. Final word

Being adored is not a warning sign. Being adored *on condition* is. You do not need to decide who the other person really is; you need to protect your pace, keep your people close, and watch what happens when you say no.

Most of the time, that is all the protection you need. And when it is not, you will know far sooner than you otherwise would.

Read more: [the full article](#) · [the problem page](#) · [Anxious attachment](#)

9. Research and further reading

Aron, A., Melinat, E., Aron, E. N., Vallone, R. D., & Bator, R. J.. (1997). *The experimental generation of interpersonal closeness: A procedure and some preliminary findings*. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 23(4), 363–377 [doi:10.1177/0146167297234003](#) [verified]

Dutton, D. G., & Painter, S.. (1993). *Emotional attachments in abusive relationships: A test of traumatic bonding theory*. *Violence and Victims*, 8(2), 105–120 [doi:10.1891/0886-6708.8.2.105](#) [verified]

Strutzenberg, C. C., Wiersma-Mosley, J. D., Jozkowski, K. N., & Becnel, J. N.. (2017). *Love-bombing: A narcissistic approach to relationship formation*. *Discovery: The Student Journal of Dale Bumpers College of Agricultural, Food and Life Sciences*, 18(1), 81–89 [doi:10.54119/discovery.zxgc9960](#) [verified]

Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R.. (2016). *Attachment in Adulthood: Structure, Dynamics, and Change (2nd ed.)*. Guilford Press, New York <https://www.guilford.com/books/Attachment-in-Adulthood/Mikulincer-Shaver/9781462533817> [verified]

Stark, E.. (2007). *Coercive Control: How Men Entrap Women in Personal Life*. Oxford University Press, New York <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/coercive-control-9780195384048> [verified]

UK Parliament. (2015). *Serious Crime Act 2015, section 76: Controlling or coercive behaviour in an intimate or family relationship*. *legislation.gov.uk* <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2015/9/section/76> [verified]

Refuge. (2026). *National Domestic Abuse Helpline (UK): 0808 2000 247, 24 hours*. *nationaldahelpline.org.uk* <https://www.nationaldahelpline.org.uk/> [verified]

Ministerio de Igualdad (España). (2026). *Servicio 016: atención a víctimas de violencia contra las mujeres (24 h, gratuito, no deja rastro en la factura)*. *violenciagenero.igualdad.gob.es* <https://violenciagenero.igualdad.gob.es/informacion-3/recursos/telefono016/> [verified]